# REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

| | |
|---|---|
| **Title of Publication:** | Fox February 2005 Vol. 23 No. 9 |
| **Other Known Title:** | Fox February 2005 |
| **Format:** | Magazine |
| **Director/Author:** | Not Applicable |
| **Producer/Publisher:** | Montcalm Publishing Corp |
| **Country of Origin:** | USA |
| **Language:** | English |
| **Classification:** | Objectionable. |
| **Descriptive Note:** | Not Applicable |
| **Display Conditions:** | Not Applicable |
| **Date of entry in Register:** | 18 March 2005 |
| **Date of direction to issue a label:** | Not Applicable |
| **Applicant:** | Comptroller of Customs |
| **Applicant to the Labelling Body:** | Not Applicable |
| **OFLC No:** | 500194 |
| **Unexcised version of publication:** | Not Applicable |

| | Components | Running time |
|---|---|---|
| **Menu:** | | |
| **Feature:** | | |
| **Other timed components:** | | |
| **Total running time:** | | |

**Excisions:** Not Applicable

**Reasons for excisions:**

Not Applicable

**Summary of reasons**
**for classification:**

The magazine entitled *Fox February 2005 Vol. 23 No. 9* is classified as:
Objectionable.

This classification is because the publication tends to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes, and tends to promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The publication is an adult magazine depicting explicit sexual activity between men and women, and advertising adult sex products and services. A moderately high proportion of the magazine's content is highly degrading to women. Some of the advertisements feature youthful looking women who are presented as being young teenagers readily available for readers' sexual gratification. As a result of the inclusion of such advertising the publication tends to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes. The publication also contains images that tend to promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. This outcome is consistent, however, with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 are deemed to be objectionable. The classification is also a reasonable limitation on the freedom of expression that reflects the concern of a free and democratic society to limit the availability of publications that tend to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes, and which tend to promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.